

---

**Civil Magistrates Court, District of George, Held At George**  
**Case 5048-14: Lara Johnstone v Clive Johnstone**

---

**Reply Affidavit of Lara Johnstone**

**Annexure: B: Taaibos Barter Exchange Agreement & Unashamedly  
Ethical**

---

- B.01** From: Lara Johnstone | To: Timothy  
CC: Clive and Ann Johnstone  
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2015 1:22 AM  
Subject: RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want
- B.02** From: Clive Johnstone | To: Lara  
CC: Clive and Ann Johnstone  
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2015 11:55 AM  
Subject: RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want
- B.03** From: Lara Johnstone | To: Clive Johnstone  
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2015 12:24 PM  
Subject: RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want
- B.04** From: MILED EoP-PoW Clerk: Andrea Muhrrteyn  
[mailto:andreamuhrrteyn@mweb.co.za]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 12:08 AM  
To: 'Family Policy Institute Family Policy Institute'; Unashamedly Ethical  
CC: EoP Applicants and Observers  
Subject: FPI & Unashamedly Ethical: Q: Are your conflict problem solving ethics  
founded on EoP or WiP values?

---

# **B.01**

**From: Lara Johnstone | To: Timothy**  
**CC: Clive and Ann Johnstone**  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 1:22 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want

---

**From:** Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 1:22 AM  
**To:** 'Timothy D'; 'Clive Johnstone'; 'Ann Johnstone'  
**Subject:** RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want

TO: Timothy McVeigh, Clive and Ann Johnstone

\*~~~~~\*\*~~~~~\*

To: Timothy:

**RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want**

Responses to my interpretation; as stated in previous email; under Draft Text for Timothy McVeigh Email to Clive Johnstone; of (a) your question to Ann and Clive: What do they want?; (b) Clives definition of Ethics.

Clive and Ann sincerely are doubtful (a) that you are alive; or (b) if you are alive that you care about me. Even if we found a psychologist somewhere on this planet who knows the earth is not flat; such psychologist may not be interested in investigating the evidence to determine whether Timothy McVeigh is alive or not.

Their doubts about your faked execution are understandable; since they have no evidence that you are alive; or that you care about me; only my statements. Just because I know the earth is not flat; does not mean that I should be unequivocally believed that you are alive; and care about me; just because I say so.

The Oklahoma City bombing was not a major event in South Africa. Most South Africans are totally clueless it ever happened. My parents only know about Timothy McVeigh because of me; not because they found out about you on the news. They do not understand that before the World Trade Center Attacks it was the largest terrorist attack on United States soil; and how many Americans hated you; and all the events surrounding your trial and execution. Put simply they don't understand that you aren't just a nice young white boy nextdoor; but at least in terms of American political events; your execution; was a big event; and if exposed by American or International media as faked; would be even a bigger controversial event.

If they knew you were alive; I imagine they would (a) have many questions; some of which you may not be able to answer right now; not because you don't want to; but because of unresolved political or legal issues; you don't have the answers to; and (b) also would not mind helping where they can; as they have with me to help you, as long as my help remained within reason that does not involve any more visits to prisons or mental institutions.

Ann has often said she does not mind me doing whatever I am doing with writing my legal applications and letters; if someone could help her answer the question: "Is it worth it?". By that I imagine she means is it of benefit to anyone? Does what I am doing day by day, benefit anyone? Does anyone read what I write? Does anyone understand it?

They would rather see me humanely and peacefully depart life on this planet than ever spend any time in any African or Mandelotopia prison or mental institution. I 100% agree with them.

Mandelotopia prisons and mental institutions are on some days the African equivalent of Abu Graib; and very few journalists care about writing about the horrors that occur there. There is no discipline, no rules; the most brutal prison warlords rule the prisons. Apartheid prisons were disciplined and the guards ruled and they had a focus on rehabilitation. Not Mandelotopia prisons..

Ann has also said I could have more space in the garden soon; she just wants to wait for some event; I can't remember what she called it; something about 7 year cycles; to finish; and then we can make more space in the garden for me to grow veggies. Clive also suggested we could get a water tank next to the wall that we could use for the veggies; so we don't use the water tank for our own domestic use.

I imagine that if they knew that what I was doing was helping some groups of people; whether its different religions or different races; or different cultures to better understand each other; they would be pleased that at least I am not wasting my time; with what I am doing; and that it is somehow productive and useful and someone is benefiting from it; not just my delusions about what I may be doing.

If you are alive; it would also be helpful to Ann and Clive if they knew what you want.

I think all three of you know what I want.

### **RE: Clive definition of Ethics.**

Philosophers for the past thousands of years have argued about what is ethics, how, where and when. Its not an easy definition to make or to explain.

I don't pretend to know the answer as to whether what I consider is 'ethics' to be 'right' and true. I can only formulate for myself what I consider ethical rules to be; in terms of how I choose to live; and then attempt to live according to those rules; and where they work to continue abiding by them and when they don't work; to admit that maybe that perspective of what I considered to be 'ethical' was not ethical for me; or did not work in terms of what I would want to consider an ethical relationship and so on.

I would like to hear what Pa's thoughts are on what he considers his ethical rules whether his own or from his interpretation of the bible; so that I can know what they are; and if or where they contradict my ethical rules; to formulate a way in which we can coexist; with our respective ethical rules; if or where they may differ.

\*~~~~~\*\*~~~~~\*

Ma and Pa:

### **RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want**

I don't know if any of what I wrote above to Tim about how you feel about him and what I am doing on his behalf; is correct.

Feel free to correct me where I may have inaccurately interpreted what you may want or not; with regard to our relationship and my relationship with Tim; whether real or imagined. Hopefully in the process we can find out at some time where my relationship with Tim is real; or imagined. I very much consider it real; but if I am wrong; then I am willing to confront that reality.

I appreciate you for listening and thinking and sharing whatever your thoughts are; and if we disagree we can do so without too much anger; but with more understanding as to where we are all coming from.

Thank you for helping me and Tim through this.

Love Lara.

---

## B.02

**From:** Clive Johnstone | **To:** Lara  
**CC:** Clive and Ann Johnstone  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 11:55 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want

---

**From:** Clives Email [mailto:clann@telkomsa.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 11:55 AM  
**To:** jmcswan@mweb.co.za  
**Subject:** Re: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want

Hi Lara : just google Unashamedly Ethical , and Family Policy Institute. Hopefully you will better understand what I mean. Pa.

---

## B.03

**From:** Lara Johnstone | **To:** Clive Johnstone  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 12:24 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want

---

**From:** Lara [mailto:jmcswan@mweb.co.za]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 24, 2015 12:24 PM  
**To:** 'Clives Email'  
**Subject:** RE: Lara Interpretation of What Ann and Clive Want

Okay pa. I will do so; and read it and then get back to you if I don't understand anything.  
Thanks.

---

# B.04

**From:** MILED EoP-PoW Clerk: Andrea Muhrrteyn [mailto:andreamuhrrteyn@mweb.co.za]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 05, 2015 12:08 AM  
**To:** 'Family Policy Institute Family Policy Institute'; Unashamedly Ethical  
**CC:** EoP Applicants and Observers  
**Subject:** FPI & Unashamedly Ethical: Q: Are your conflict problem solving ethics founded on EoP or WiP values?

Original submitted on 26 July 2015 [PDF](#); updated on 06 October 2015 [PDF](#); as per correspondence to Michael Hoffman detailed in Proof of Service [PDF](#). Subsequent filed notification to [ZA, CA, HK, NZ, MY & AU police of UE Fraud](#)<sup>1</sup>.

---

**From:** MILED EoP-PoW Clerk: Andrea Muhrrteyn [mailto:andreamuhrrteyn@mweb.co.za]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 05, 2015 12:08 AM  
**To:** 'Family Policy Institute Family Policy Institute'; ~~Errol Naidoo~~; 'Unashamedly Ethical'; 'Executive Committee: PowerGroup'; 'Graham Power'; 'Hindsight Financial and Commercial Solutions Pty Limited: Ras Myburgh'; 'Edward Beeka'; 'Edward Beeka'; 'Amanda Buys'; 'Marlene Cronje'; ~~Dion Forster~~; ~~Avja Jantjes~~; 'Patrick Kuwana'; 'Chris Lodewyk'; 'Mgayi Bongani'; 'Gert Roberts'; 'Zevenster Hardus'; 'International Advisors: Roy Chen'; 'Dan Daniels via City Life Church'; ~~Datuk Edward Ong~~; 'Sutera Harbour Project'; 'Don Simmonds'; 'Dr Geoff Tunicliffe via World Evangelical Alliance'; 'Timothy K. Gorojevsek'; 'Phil Warbrick'  
**Cc:** ~~'Unashamedly Ethical Ombudsman'~~; Vice Admiral Nanette Derenzi: US Navy Judge Advocate General co: Knowledge and Information Services JAG Knowledge.Info.Svc'; 'VADM Dennis McGinn via CNA Military Advisory Board Members: US Army: Gen Paul Kern'; 'Army: F.Ch.Staff: Gen Gordon Sullivan'; 'US EU Command: F.Dep.Comm: Gen. Charles Chuck Wald'; 'Amb Patrick Gaspard: US Emb-Pta'; 'Timothy McVeigh'; 'via DOJ-NSD Asst AG-NS: Lisa Monaco: RE: Timothy McVeigh'; 'NSA: Gen Keith Alexander'; 'Erik Prince via GOP: Brown Ellen – Inhofe'; 'Michigan Republicans: Sam Bissell'; 'President Vladimir Putin

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/int-police-lj-v-ue.html>

via Kremlin Press Office'; 'Amb Mikhail Petrakov: RU Emb-Pta'; 'David Petraeus'; 'Ray O'Dierno & John Mulholland co Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff'; 'Judge Jay Bybee: U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit'; 'David Coombs Office'; 'Zbigniew Brzezinski'; 'Major General Dana Pittard'; 'Major General Herbert Raymond McMaster'; 'John W. Whitehead'; 'Donald Rumsfeld'; 'USMC JCS: Dir Joint Force Dev: Lt Gen George Flynn'; 'Supreme Court Justices via DoJ: SupremeCtBriefs'; 'Holy See in London – Nuntius'; 'Grand Lodge of TX: Grand Secretary'; 'Arif Hasan Akhundzada'; 'USMC General Mattis via Dep Comm: Col James Clark: Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base'

**Subject:** FPI & Unashamedly Ethical: Q: Are your conflict problem solving ethics founded on EoP or WiP values?

Family Policy Institute: President Errol Naidoo  
P O Box 4743, Cape Town 8000

Unashamedly Ethical: Executive Committee & International Advisory Board  
PO Box 129, Blackheath, 7581, RSA

Family Policy Institute Family Policy Institute (info@familypolicyinstitute.org); ~~Errol Naidoo (enaidoo@familypolicyinstitute.org)~~; Unashamedly Ethical (info@unashamedlyethical.com); Executive Committee: PowerGroup (fvanderwesthuizen@powergrp.co.za); Graham Power (gpower@powergrp.co.za); Hindsight Financial and Commercial Solutions Pty Limited: Ras Myburgh (ras.myburgh@hindsight.co.za); Edward Beeka (edward@redsecurity.co.za); Edward Beeka (info@proevents-sa.co.za); Amanda Buys (amanda.buys@iafrica.com); Marlene Cronje (mcronje@powergrp.co.za); ~~Dion Forster (dforster@powergrp.co.za)~~; ~~Avja Jantjies (avjay.jantjies@yahoo.com)~~; Patrick Kuwana (patrick@crossovertransformation.co.za); Chris Lodewyk (chris@cpmmanagement.co.za); Mgayi Bongani (bongani@welamaza.co.za); Gert Roberts (gajroberts@gmail.com); Zevenster Hardus (hardus@104fm.org.za); International Advisors: Roy Chen (Enquiry@gracefinancialltd.com); Dan Daniels via City Life Church (news@citylifechurch.co.za); Datuk Edward Ong (~~edward.ong@suterasanetuarylodges.co.my~~); Sutera Harbour Project (sutera@suteraharbour.com.my); Don Simmonds (Dsimmonds@crossroads.ca); Dr Geoff Tuncliffe via World Evangelical Alliance (wea@worlddea.org); Timothy K. Goropevsek (timothyg@worlddea.org); Phil Warbrick (phil.warbrick@synergine.com)

CC: ~~Unashamedly Ethical Ombudsman (ombudsman@unashamedlyethical.com)~~  
CC: GMC 4643-13 applicants and observers

Please find online the following correspondence: **Are Family Policy Institute and Unashamedly Ethical social and resource conflict problem solving ethics founded upon (i) Ecology of Peace factual reality or (ii) Masonic War is Peace flat earth beliefs?** [[PDF<sup>2</sup>](#)].

A copy of this correspondence shall be documented in: ***EoP v WiP NWO negotiations Legal Correspondence to International Psychiatry and Psychology Organisations*** [[PDF<sup>3</sup>](#)].

Respectfully,

Lara Johnstone, aka Andrea Muhrrteyn [EoP Oath ([PDF<sup>4</sup>](#))]  
PO Box 5042, George East, 6539, RSA

---

<sup>2</sup> [http://www.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/15-07-26\\_fpi-ue\\_fampolinst-unashethical.pdf](http://www.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/15-07-26_fpi-ue_fampolinst-unashethical.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> [http://www.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/15-07-11\\_eop-wip-nwo-neg\\_psychethicscorr.pdf](http://www.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/15-07-11_eop-wip-nwo-neg_psychethicscorr.pdf)

GMC 4643-13 & 2578-14 Pro Se Applicant  
Former MILED Clerk & Acting Clerk

**GMC 4643 Lara Johnstone v Brad Blanton Applicants & Observers:**

*Applicants:* Vice Admiral Nanette Derenzi: US Navy Judge Advocate General co: Knowledge and Information Services JAG Knowledge.Info.Svc; VADM Dennis McGinn via CNA Military Advisory Board Members: US Army: Gen Paul Kern; Army: F.Ch.Staff: Gen Gordon Sullivan; US EU Command: F.Dep.Comm: Gen. Charles Chuck Wald; Amb Patrick Gaspard: US Emb-Pta; Timothy McVeigh; via DOJ-NSD Asst AG-NS: Lisa Monaco: RE: Timothy McVeigh; NSA: Gen Keith Alexander; Erik Prince via GOP: Brown Ellen – Inhofe; Michigan Republicans: Sam Bissell; President Vladimir Putin via Kremlin Press Office; Amb Mikhail Petrakov: RU Emb-Pta; David Petraeus; Stan McChrystal; Ray O’Dierno & John Mulholland co Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff.

*Observers:* Judge Jay Bybee: U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; David Coombs Office; Zbigniew Brzezinski; Major General Dana Pittard; Major General Herbert Raymond McMaster; John W. Whitehead; Donald Rumsfeld; USMC JCS: Dir Joint Force Dev: Lt Gen George Flynn; Supreme Court Justices via DoJ: SupremeCtBriefs; Holy See in London – Nuntius; Grand Lodge of TX: Grand Secretary; Arif Hasan Akhundzada; USMC General Mattis via Dep Comm: Col James Clark: Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base.

---

06 October 2015

President Errol Naidoo  
Family Policy Institute  
P O Box 4743  
Cape Town 8000

Unashamedly Ethical: Executive Committee  
& International Advisory Board  
PO Box 129, Blackheath  
7581, RSA

Family Policy Institute Family Policy Institute; Errol Naidoo

Unashamedly Ethical: Administrator: Jaap Le Roux; Advisory Board: Unashamedly Ethical; Executive Committee: PowerGroup; Graham Power; Hindsight Financial and Commercial Solutions Pty Limited: Ras Myburgh; Edward Beeka; Amanda Buys; Marlene Cronje; Patrick Kuwana; Chris Lodewyk; Mgayi Bongani; Gert Roberts; Zevenster Hardus; International Advisors: Roy Chen; Dan Daniels via City Life Church; Datuk Edward Ong via Sutera Harbour Project; Don Simmonds; Dr Geoff Tunicliffe via World Evangelical Alliance; Timothy K. Gorojevsek; Phil Warbrick  
Family Policy Institute: Errol Naidoo

Mr. Naidoo & Mr. Power et al:

**Are Family Policy Institute and Unashamedly Ethical social and resource conflict problem solving ethics founded upon (i) Ecology of Peace factual reality or (ii) Masonic War is Peace flat earth beliefs?**

---

<sup>4</sup> [http://tygae.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/13-06-18\\_milint-earth-day-wyft-support-troops-oath\\_cert.pdf](http://tygae.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/13-06-18_milint-earth-day-wyft-support-troops-oath_cert.pdf)

I am currently in the process of negotiating a barter exchange agreement with my parents. My father stated that his contract negotiation principles were in agreement with 'unashamedly ethical' and 'Family Policy Institute'. I was unclear what he meant and he suggested I google the terms to find out what they mean.

I did a google search and perused your websites, documenting my summary interpretation of your perspective on ethics further below.

I also recalled that the Family Policy Institute had been one of the respondents in an Ecology of Peace legal application I filed with ICASA; wherein I objected to ToP TV's application for three more porn channels. My submission to ICASA was refused by ICASA; and there were no objections from any other parties, including the Family Policy Institute; to ICASA's refusal to consider my Ecology of Peace arguments and evidence.

I informed my father that it is my working hypothesis conclusion that Family Policy Institute and Unashamedly Ethical endorse Masonic War is Peace Christianity; i.e. your 'Christian' ethics are founded upon Masonic or occult War is Peace cultural values.

If that is accurate; my parents wondered whether you recognize the difference; and if so whether your endorsement of Masonic War is Peace Christianity was conscious or unconscious.

Consequently I am writing to find out whether Family Policy Institute social and resource conflict resolution ethics are consciously founded upon Ecology of Peace factual reality or Masonic War is Peace flat earth beliefs.

### **Family Policy Institute and Unashamedly Ethical Values:**

According to [Family Policy Institute](http://www.familypolicyinstitute.com/fpi/)<sup>5</sup> website:

"Family Policy Institute (FPI) is a ... an independent non-politically aligned public policy think tank that endeavors to spark cultural renewal by developing and articulating pro-life pro-family public policy in South Africa and, by way of communications outreach, throughout the Continent of Africa. FPI will vigorously promote the ideal of policy founded on principle, through government, media and social institutions, and will represent all those who share these values."

FPI endeavors as your "main priority to encourage and equip citizens representing the Christian community in South Africa to actively participate in the political and public policy arena through education, information and inspiration, via print and electronic communications."

FPI "begin with policy research, using the most up to date social science data available to demonstrate the vital link between the family and a healthy society"; to "promote family-friendly policies and ideas in the public square .. National Parliament, Provincial

---

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.familypolicyinstitute.com/fpi/>



Legislatures, City Councils, and the general public” and finally you have a “far-reaching and sustainable objective” to build a “stronger South Africa.”

According to [Unashamedly Ethical](#)<sup>6</sup> website:

"Ethical conduct should be the same everywhere." -- Advocate Keith Matthee S.C., Unashamedly Ethical Ombudsman

Around 2006, Graham Power, founder of POWER Group, saw the -- corruption and income inequality devastation in Mandelapia South Africa -- devastation around him and knew that in order for South Africa to realise its tremendous potential, greed and corruption needs to stop. He understood that the tide of corruption and unethical behaviour in our institutions and societies can only be stemmed by active opposition to such behaviour and a groundswell of public support for ethics, values and clean living. Thus Unashamedly Ethical was born. It was only a few years prior that Graham had initiated a Day of Prayer with a gathering held at Newlands Rugby Stadium in 2001. In the following years, the Day of Prayer spread throughout South Africa, Africa and, by 2010, was held in 220 nations around the world, earning it the name, the Global Day of Prayer.

Meanwhile, following Graham’s vision to see all 220 nations in the world committed to ethical living, he and his team developed the infrastructure and identity of the Unashamedly Ethical campaign and in May 2010 launched it globally. A Constitution was adopted and an Executive Committee established to oversee the campaign. The initial focus of Unashamedly Ethical was on South Africa and there was tremendous support from people in politics, business and local communities who grasped the vision and realised that they had a part to play in transforming South Africa.

Unashamedly Ethical patrons are Anglican Archbishop of Cape Town, The Most Revd Dr Thabo Cecil Makgoba, and Michael Cassidy, the founder of African Enterprise.

As at March 2015, Unashamedly Ethical had signatories in 107 countries. The top five countries with the most signatories were as follows: South Africa (21 409); Malaysia (3 787); USA (679); Kenya (547); and Jamaica (455).

Unashamedly Ethical members sign a code of conduct; which they agree to abide by; which includes committing to be accountable to the Unashamedly Ethical Ombudsman.

Generally speaking the Code of Conduct requires members to be honest and ethical in all their business dealings. This means the members will: refuse to elicit, accept or pay any bribes, and encourage their partners, suppliers and associates, etc. to do the same; negotiate and award all contracts with integrity; take no part in price fixing, collusion, fronting or misrepresentation of their business credentials and/or contributions to transformation; pay their taxes; and pay reasonable salaries and wages and pay it on time.

More specifically:

---

<sup>6</sup> <http://unashamedlyethical.com/>

Individuals commit to: (a) To be entirely truthful in all you say; (b) To be faithful to your family relationships; (c) To do nothing out of selfish ambition or conceit, but to look out for the interests of others; (d) To refuse to elicit, accept or pay any bribes and to encourage others to do the same; (e) To be diligent without being harsh, and striving to be just and fair; (f) To be a peacemaker; (g) To do your work wholeheartedly; (h) To submit yourself to just and ethical governing authorities; (i) To remember the poor by investing generously and sacrificially in the broader community; (j) To collaborate with your peers to impact our community and nation; (k) You also commit yourself to being accountable to the Unashamedly Ethical Ombudsman.

Youth commit to: (a) To tell the truth always; (b) To honour your parents, and to respect your teachers; (c) To stay pure in all your relationships; (d) To do what is right even when your friends do not do so; (e) To be unselfish, and to treat people like you want to be treated; (f) To stay free from alcohol and drugs; (g) To refuse to cheat on any exams or tests; (h) To do your work wholeheartedly; (i) To serve the poor in your community; (j) To collaborate with your peers to impact your community and nation.

Sportspersons commit to: (a) To always sing our national anthem with pride; (b) To refuse to use banned performance enhancing substances; (c) To treat other athletes with respect and dignity, and pursue victory within the constraints of good sportsmanship; (d) To refuse to elicit, accept or pay any bribes and to encourage others to do the same; (e) To refuse to place any bets when it would be illegal or unethical to do so; (f) To be a good role model, on and off the field, and to develop others emerging in my sport; (g) To practice and compete wholeheartedly and within the rules; (h) To show respect for referees, umpires and other officials, and accept their decisions; (i) To enjoy myself while being gracious in victory and accepting defeat with dignity; (j) To collaborate with your peers to impact our community and nation.

Health Professionals commit to: (a) To be honest and ethical in all my dealings, to refuse to elicit, accept or pay any bribes, and to encourage others to do the same; (b) To provide professional, effective and only relevant consultations, treatment prescriptions and procedures; (c) To provide accessible and accurate information concerning treatment options and cost for the patient/client to make an informed choice; (d) To recognize and honor cultural differences in dealing with patients or clients; (e) To stay abreast of latest research in my field of expertise in order to serve my patients/clients to the best of my ability; (f) To be an example of good health management; (g) To provide a safe and secure environment when evaluating or treating a patient; (h) To pay taxes and reasonable salaries, and to honour all my creditors; (i) To recognize ethical and just governing authorities and to submit to the local health professional council; (j) To be generous to the poor by investing sacrificially in my local community and in the nation.

If any signatory is guilty of unethical behavior and has transgressed the Code of Conduct; recourse is provided through the Unashamedly Ethical Ombudsman.

### **Ecology of Peace Ethics:**

I am a member of an Ecology of Peace culture. I do not profess to be an expert on ethics or philosophy. I'd like to think I have some bit of common sense. I don't know if God or the Devil, do or don't exist.

To me ethics is the sincere commitment to resolve social and resource war conflict by being willing to (i) confront the root causes of social and resource conflict and engage in nonviolent problem solving focused cooperate discussion and action; (ii) by engaging with others in fully informed consenting agreements; and amending my lifestyle or values; should they have been a contributory causal factor to the creation of social and resource war conflict.

Ecology of Peace culture ethics is founded upon Ecology of Peace Factual Reality principles:

Ecology of Peace Factual Reality:

1. Earth is not flat; 2. Resources are finite; 3. When humans breed or consume above ecological carrying capacity limits, it results in resource conflict; 4. To sustainably protect and conserve natural resources in accordance to local and national carrying capacity limits; and restrict [national and international inter-cultural resource war conflict](#)<sup>7</sup>; humans must implement an international law social contract that restricts all the worlds citizens to breed and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits.

A detailed analysis of Earths current carrying capacity; and consequently the difference between an Eco-Innocent: ethical procreation and consumption; and a Scarcity Combatant: unethical procreation and consumption is available at [Commonsism](#)<sup>8</sup>. My EoP oath to abide by Ecology of Peace ethical cultural procreation and consumption behaviors and cooperate to implement an Ecology of Peace international law social contract is available at [EoP supporters](#)<sup>9</sup>.

Put simply in Ecology of Peace cultural perspective: an ethical person is someone who (a) procreates and consumes below ecological carrying capacity limits; thereby not contributing to overpopulation/consumption resource scarcity social conflict; and (b) relates to others based upon fully informed consenting agreement values.

I have also notified the International Criminal Court with a draft -- 'Nuclear Freedom Mutual Coercion Military Necessity for the Implementation of an Ecology of Peace international law social contract' Rendulic Rule Military Necessity doctrine' – [complaint](#)<sup>10</sup>; which provides for the ***Global Footprint Network Standards Committee*** and any nations ***Ecology, Footprint, Population, Natural Resource, Wild Law etc Academic Experts or their critics*** to prove my Ecology of Peace Decalogue Commandment 'crimes of aggression acts of war' [difference between ethical and unethical resource war problem solving] definition, argument and evidence to be incorrect; or submit factual correction edits to the Applicant's IPAT: Consumption x Population Footprint equation carrying capacity limits statistics. I have also filed numerous [Ecology of Peace legal applications in South African and International courts](#)<sup>11</sup>.

## Summary: Ecology of Peace v Masonic War is Peace Christianity:

An Ecology of Peace individual is someone who may not in the past or currently have abided by Ecology of Peace procreation or consumption factual reality ethical principles; as the basis for their ethical values; who chooses to [unconditionally or conditionally cooperate](#)<sup>12</sup> to implement an Ecology of Peace international law social contract. Such a

---

<sup>7</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/military-gospel.html>

<sup>8</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/commonsism.html>

<sup>9</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/eop-supporters.html>

<sup>10</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/eop-icc-complaint.html>

<sup>11</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/eop-legal-submissions.html>

<sup>12</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/eop-supporters.html>

person would be an unconditional or conditional cooperating Ecology of Peace Christian, Catholic, Muslim, Buddhist, Atheist, etc.

Conversely an individual whose religious ethical principles – whether based upon their own interpretation of their religious doctrine or upon a religious scholar, priest or popes interpretation of their religious doctrine – endorse the Flat Earth ‘right to breed and/or consume without any regard for ecological carrying capacity limits’ Masonic War is Peace international law social contract and object to the implementation of an Ecology of Peace international law social contract restricting all the worlds humans, from all religions, classes and races to procreate and consume below ecological carrying capacity limits. Such a person would consciously or unconsciously effectively be a [Masonic War is Peace](#)<sup>13</sup> Christian, Catholic, Muslim, Buddhist, Atheist, etc.

Here follows additional information about Ecology of Peace cultural values; of possible interest for your research, decision making and/or response.

### **EoP Commonsism Responsible Freedom & WiP Slavery Freedumb:**

Ecology of Peace Commonsism Responsible Freedom: To choose to be responsible by choosing to breed and consume below carrying capacity limits; and relate socio-culturally in terms of Voluntarist fully informed consenting agreements; to benefit from the (a) resource abundance abundance ability to live a self sufficient sustainable lifestyle; and (b) freedom of association Voluntarist principles allowing all to engage in their own personal searches for meaning; without moralistic busybodies coercively asserting their political correct or other moralistic ideologies upon everyone. Put simply: allowing all citizens to do whatever they want as long as (a) they breed and consume below carrying capacity; and (b) engage in fully informed consensual agreements and activities.

Masonic War is Peace Motarded Rat Race Slavery Freedumb: The [seething energies of lucifer](#)<sup>14</sup> freedumb to obey the 'inalienable [freedumb slavery] right' to breed and consume above carrying capacity limits; denying personal responsibility for the human factory farming organized violence conquer and culling racism, sexism, nationalism, socialism, capitalism, nazism, islamism, zionism, corporatism, stalinism, human sacrifice culling, etc consequences; as people are motivated beyond retardation (motarded) to choose to join one or other ideological, religious, racial or cultural tribe to engage in resource war thieving to accumulate more resources to grow their tribe to enable it to protect itself from another tribes organized violence resource war thieving.

### **Working Hypothesis theory vs Principles of Belief:**

According to Professor of Philosophy Dr. Gedaliah Braun's *Some Important Concepts in Ethics*; the two basic **Principles of Belief** are:

B1: Whatever a person believes, s/he necessarily believes is *true*.

B2: From the fact that someone (no matter who or how many) believes something, it *never* follows that that belief is *true*.

---

<sup>13</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/war-is-peace.html>

<sup>14</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/sel-human-sacrifice-hs.html>

In other words, believing something does not necessarily make the particular belief to be objectively factually true.

The point being that if you believe some idea with certainty; then necessarily you believe that that belief about that idea is true and hence any contrary belief is false.

Conversely if you consider any idea or concept to be a working hypothesis theory; you then proceed to investigate it through enquiry and research to determine whether the working hypothesis theory is substantiated by evidence; i.e. plausibly true; or contradicted by evidence; i.e. plausibly untrue.

For example: The statement “*At one time everyone believed that the earth was flat*” is not simply a statement about the shape of the earth; but about people’s *beliefs* about the shape of the earth. A statement (or fact) about people’s *beliefs* about the shape of the earth is quite different from a statement (or fact) about the *actual shape of the earth*.

In order to determine the truth or falsity of the statement “*At one time everyone believed that the earth was flat*”; we must conduct historical, sociological and anthropological type research to determine what people *believe* about the shape of the earth.

However in order to determine the truth or falsity of the statement “*The earth is flat (or at one time was flat)*”; what people believe(d) about the shape of the earth is quite irrelevant. To determine whether or not the earth is or was flat; we must make certain physical and scientific observations and research to determine the factual truth about the former and current shape of the earth.

### **Summary Hypothesis: Ecology of Peace & War is Peace history:**

Since the beginning of humans (whatever you believe that beginning to be) humans lived in nomadic hunter gatherer tribes, like the Bushmen, Aborigine’s, etc. Male duties were to hunt and protect the tribe. Women’s duties were to forage and nurture the young. Both men and women contributed to the tribe’s economic resources and duties, hence power was shared reasonably equally. Tribes were concerned about not overbreeding; since such overbreeding resulted in the resources in a territory being depleted quicker, and less resources for the rest of the tribe; requiring them to move to new territories. After three million years of humans living like hunter gatherers, it is estimated that the human population at the beginning of the Neolithic period was around ten million. This period of humans living in harmony with nature would be the story of the Garden of Eden; and the story of Cain and Abel.

Then things changed very quickly for the tribes who invented totalitarian agriculture. Women invented agriculture, resulting from their close association and experimentation with seeds and plants, in their role as foragers. This change occurred because some tribes started practicing a particular form of ‘surplus agriculture’ (hereinafter referred to as ‘totalitarian agriculture’) where farmers grew large surpluses of stored food.

The consolidation of agriculture results in the centralization of political power: cities & civilization. Before the discovery of oil and coal, the practice of totalitarian agriculture farming was far more labour intensive than hunting and subsistence farming; so men took over agriculture; and women were now relegated to being brood sows, to breed economic and cannon fodder: children to work in the fields or be soldiers. Surplus food meant that some members of

the tribe could now work in other specialized fields: soldiers, clerks, etc; and also that the tribes could grow their tribes population. World population doubled in three thousand years; and again in only two thousand years. It jumped from ten million to fifty million—probably eighty percent of them being practitioners of totalitarian agriculture. It was getting crowded. [ Sources: [Guns, Germs & Steel](#)<sup>15</sup> | [Eve's Seed](#)<sup>16</sup> [Religious Ecological Illiteracy](#)<sup>17</sup> | [Story of B](#)<sup>18</sup> | [Agriculture & Civilization](#)<sup>19</sup> | [City & Civilizations](#)<sup>20</sup> | [Totalitarian agriculture](#)<sup>21</sup> | [Human Factory Farming](#)<sup>22</sup> [Story of our Enslavement](#)<sup>23</sup>]

***EoP interpretation of Bible:*** Adam and Eve's apple story represents Eve teaching Adam occult 'sexual breeding war and capital resource accumulation war' knowledge. In occult Gnostic teaching the sickle symbolizes Saturn, or the Demiurgos, their operating engineer of the universe; or the Mason's civilization God Architect. The sickle's symbology involves the deliberate manipulation of nature through farming practices to produce surpluses for the ego sociopolitical or economic profits status of man. The farming of plants quickly evolved to the farming of humans; the process of deliberately encouraging overbreeding to increase sociopolitical or economic status profits from direct or indirect — debt or welfare dependency — slavery. The harvesting of plants quickly evolved to the harvesting of humans; through human sacrifice.

### **Beginning of War is Peace War resulting from overbreeding and overconsuming:**

In all of three million years, humans had never been crowded anywhere. But now the people of practicing totalitarian agriculture were learning what it means to be crowded. Overworked, overgrazed land was becoming less and less productive. There were more people, and they were competing for dwindling resources; and people now began to organize their tribes into forms of standing armies to fight for land or resources. The tribes first war-making machinery: warlords—kings, princes, emperors and their nation states formed for the purpose of armed defense and aggression. “It's during this period that we see the standing army forged as the monarch's sword of power. Without a standing army, a king is just a windbag in fancy clothes. But with a standing army, a king can impose his will on his enemies and engrave his name in history—and absolutely the only names we have from this era are the names of conquering kings. No scientists, no philosophers, no historians, no prophets, just conquerors. For the first time in human history, the important people are the people with armies.”

### **Evolution of War is Peace War: Advancement and acquisition of Human and Military Technology and Capital vs Breeding of Cannon Fodder:**

In a battle between two armies with the same level of military skill and technology; generally the bigger army wins. Many of history's military conquerors have relied on simply growing cannon fodder to slaughter on the battlefields to win their battles or wars. Some military conquerors focused on improving their soldiers skills (psychological, physical, intellectual,

---

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLhzqSO983AmHwWvGwccC46gs0SNObwnZX>

<sup>16</sup> <http://www.eveseed.moonfruit.com/#/argument/4513779000>

<sup>17</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/religion-masculine-insecurity.html>

<sup>18</sup> <http://in-gods-name.blogspot.com/2010/06/abcs-of-ecology-systems-approach-to-sui.html>

<sup>19</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/richard-manning.html>

<sup>20</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QLiDcLua58I>

<sup>21</sup> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bTsg5r9oKic>

<sup>22</sup> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P772Eb63qIY>

<sup>23</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xbp6umQT58A>

spiritual, etc) and technology (better armor, better swords, better chariots, better bows and arrows, better scaling machines, better rams, better artillery, better guns, better tanks, better planes, better bombs, better rockets, better nerve gas, better germs, better chemicals) .

Many times small armies with greater technological equipment and/or military tactical or strategic skills won: such as Battle of Blood River, Gallipoli. [ [Gallipoli](#)<sup>24</sup> [Mustafa Kemal Attaturk](#)<sup>25</sup> ]

### **WiP Resource Conflict: Initially economic, then political, and finally military organized conflict.**

Centuries of conflict over resources generated an international law social contract founded upon Masonic phallic force (rule of force international treaties); that rewarded those who engage in seething energies of lucifer breeding and consumption wars; which bribe their tribes members to believe it is their 'inalienable [freedom slavery] right' to breed and consume above carrying capacity limits; while denying personal responsibility for the human factory farming organized violence conquer and culling racism, sexism, nationalism, socialism, capitalism, nazism, islamism, zionism, corporatism, stalinism, human sacrifice culling, etc consequences; as people are motivated beyond retardation (motarded) to choose to join one or other ideological, religious, racial or cultural tribe to engage in resource war thieving to accumulate more resources to grow their tribe to enable it to protect itself from another tribes organized violence resource war thieving. [ [Seething Energies of Lucifer Occult human sacrifice culling practices](#)<sup>26</sup> | [Nazi & Vatican's occult 'Final Solution' Concentration Camps](#)<sup>27</sup> | [Bolshevik Final Solution Ukrainian famine](#)<sup>28</sup> ]

### **Totalitarian Agriculture WiP Empires that violently collapsed:**

Humans who engage in totalitarian agriculture, engage in Predator-Prey Growth and Collapse of Civilization/Empire cycles: Greco-Rome, Minoan, Mycenaean, Sumerians, Akkadian, Assyrian, Babylonian, Achaemenid, Seleucid, Zhou, Han, Tang, Song, Parthian, Sassanid, Umayyad, Abbasid, Mauryan, Gupta, Maya, Hittite, Harrapan and Teotihuacan. Collapses result from (1) Ecological Strain and (2) Economic Stratification and have included the disappearance of between 90-99% of their populations (from famine, disease and organized violence resource wars) including their kings, Gods, calendars and other complex political and cultural institutions. [ [Human And Nature DYNAMICS \(HANDY\): Civilization Collapse due to Ecological Strain & Economic Stratification](#)<sup>29</sup> ]

### ***Ecology of Peace (EoP) social contract***

Obviously an Ecology of Peace social contract cannot work for just one country; because the other countries who are overbreeding and overconsuming will simply come and conquer the

---

<sup>24</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P2uVVxB2gcU>

<sup>25</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ruMrEIXFev4>

<sup>26</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/sel-human-sacrifice-hs.html>

<sup>27</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/jesuit-nazi-final-hs-solution-02.html>

<sup>28</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oVZjyyAE-78>

<sup>29</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/us-nasa.html>

Ecology of Peace country and steal their resources. For an ecology of peace social contract to work, it must be an international social contract.

In nature Keystone Predators maintain Ecological Equilibrium between other predators and their prey: A keystone species -- like the Plateau Pika in China, the Black Tailed Prairie Dog in Arizona, Starfish in the Pacific Northwest -- act as Supreme Responsible Predators, who stop other lower predators from overbreeding or overconsuming the resources in an ecological system. Keystone Predator-prey systems enhance ecological equilibrium, biodiversity and balance.

For example: A starfish is the top predator upon a community of invertebrates inhabiting tidally inundated rock faces in the Pacific Northwest. The rest of the community included mollusks, barnacles and other invertebrates, for a total of 12 species (not counting microscopic taxa). When the starfish was removed the species were reduced to 11. Soon, an acorn barnacle and a mussel began to occupy virtually all available space, out competing all the other species. Species diversity dropped from more than 12 species to essentially 2. The starfish was a keystone predator, keeping the strongest competitors in check. Although it was a predator, it helped to maintain a greater number of species in the community. Its beneficial impact on species that were weak competitors is an example of an indirect effect.

An Ecology of Peace international law social contract works in the same way. It restricts all human cultures, religions, races, etc to procreating and consuming below regional, national and international carrying capacity limits. It functions as a form of 'legal /jurisprudence pika / prairie dog / starfish' Keystone predation, by legally mandating the elimination from the planetary genepool of breeding and consuming predator cheaters, to (a) keep the system stable with regard to human population vis a vis other species and resources; and (b) restricting any one religion, race, or culture from over-predation; by out competing all other species (due to greater access to capital (consumption war predatory benefits) or human cannon fodder (breeding war predatory benefits)). [ [EoP NWO social contract options](#)<sup>30</sup> ]

### **Working Hypothesis Summary: Origin of Man's Belief in God & Devil:**

All civilized religions -- from Christianity, to Gnosticism, etc -- were 'created' in the minds of man. Religions occurred subsequent to and as a combined result of (a) man's invention of language which created the cognitive capacity for abstract conceptual thought and inner dialogue: in a primitive bicameral mind what we may now call the conscious or unconscious ego would have been interpreted by the being as hearing voices from some external being; and (b) mans attempt to comprehend and make sense of the concept of 'evil' (aka organized violence), subsequent to man's invention of agriculture, approximately 10,000 years ago; and where such agriculture created food surpluses, resulting in population surpluses, and expansion of their territory resulting in population pressure clashes with other tribes territories, resource war conflict.

In [Gods, voice hearing and the bicameral mind](#)<sup>31</sup>; Jules Evans reviews Julian Jaynes: [The Origin of Consciousness in the Bicameral Mind](#)<sup>32</sup>; as follows:

---

<sup>30</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/eop-nwo-social-contract-options.html>

<sup>31</sup> <http://www.philosophyforlife.org/voice-hearing-and-the-bicameral-mind/>

<sup>32</sup> <http://www.julianjaynes.org/bicameralmind.php>



Jaynes' thesis, baldly stated, is this: human consciousness (which Jaynes defines as self-conscious introspection) only emerged around 3000 years ago. Before that, everyone heard voices and saw visions, which they took as the commands of the gods, and obeyed unquestioningly. These voices or commands came from the right hemisphere of the brain, which 'bicameral man' experienced as alien or Other.

Sensing a voice or presence often emerges in stressful situations – anecdotally, it's relatively common for the dying to see the spirits of dead loved ones, likewise as many as 35% of people who have recently lost a loved one say they have a sense of the departed's continued presence. Mountaineers in extreme conditions often report a sensed presence guiding them (known as the Third Man Factor).

If there are two or ten people living on an island with enough food and other resources and space, for one hundred people; there is no need to coerce another for food or resources freely and abundantly available. In the absence of a resource scarcity conflict mindset, and plenty of space, the only violence possibly experienced is to avoid being food for an animal predator. On such an island there is no need existential crisis meaning necessity for a 'God' in the sky.

Once the tribe introduces agriculture, which results in an agricultural surplus and uses such agricultural surplus to justify greater population; then as the population increases, so the population pressures increase. If nearby tribes also increase populations; there is less space to run away to; when someone in the tribe is temporarily angry, or there is resource scarcity violence.

Consequently my common sense thesis is that Man's creation of 'God' and the 'Devil' were a consequence of psychic existential conflict to attempt to understand so-called 'evil'; or put more simply: the organized violence conflict resulting from population pressures and escalating relations with fellow humans based upon the absence of consent, i.e. extended periods of psychic and physical violence and coercion.

Evil is generally defined as profoundly immoral and wicked, with synonyms: bad, wrong, morally wrong, wrongful, immoral, sinful, ungodly, unholy, foul, vile, base, ignoble, dishonourable, corrupt, iniquitous, depraved, degenerate, villainous, nefarious, sinister, vicious, malicious, malevolent, demonic, devilish, diabolic, diabolical, fiendish, dark, black-hearted.

The word 'evil' put in more simple responsible freedom terms:

Libertarians: 'Any act which I do not want done to me, without my fully informed consent.'  
Moral Supremacists: 'Any Act which I pretend I disagree with so as to be part of some religious, cultural or racial 'moral supremacy elite club'; either (a) to silence my conscience and feel good about my alleged moral supremacy 'ethics' or (b) for social status or economic benefits.

***Libertarian Ethics:***

If I don't like being beaten; then I think anyone of any race, class or religion beating any other person of whatever race, class or religion; who has not consented to being beaten; is

'evil'; engaged in nonconsensual violence. I don't only object to someone being beaten; if they are the same race or class or culture as me. If they do not consent to being beaten; I support them not to be beaten. Put simply: I object to all non-consensual violence.

Even if I don't like being beaten and don't consent to being beaten; I do not impose my moral beliefs on others; and hence if other people consent to being beaten and beating others up; such as for example engaging in an unofficial fight club or official boxing match; and do so in the privacy of their own homes or a selected private venue with other consenting adults; then its none of my business; that they are doing something that I don't consent being done to me. Put simply: Two or more adults engaged in consensual violence in a private venue is none of my ethical business.

### ***Moral Supremacists Ethics:***

My decision to oppose anyone being beaten has nothing to do with (a) whether I don't like being beaten or consent to being beaten; but only has to do with (b) my bullshit the public relations image management; to improve my racial, class, religion social status amongst my in-group. Hence I would only object to someone being beaten if they are (a) a member of my race or religion; and (b) they are being beaten by someone of another race or religion.

Whether I secretly like being beaten or don't like being beaten is irrelevant to my beliefs about whether other people should be (a) beaten without their consent; or (b) engage in fully informed consensual fight club like activities with their consenting adults. I believe I am morally superior to them; and I insist on my moral beliefs about who should be beaten, by whom; irrespective of their own preferences for avoiding being beaten; or choosing to enjoy engaging in violent activities; shall be the law; and shall be enforced upon everyone.

In attempting to understand the existential meaning of this symptom of organized violence 'evil' resulting from violation of carrying capacity limits resource war conflict, man created religions.

### **Radical Honoursty legal correspondence to Family Policy Institute: Re difference between Ecology of Peace and Masonic War is Peace Christianity:**

On 18 March 2013 I informed the Family Policy Institute of the Ecology of Peace culture submission to [ICASA objecting to Top TV / COSATU<sup>33</sup>](#)'s application for three porn channels. The submission includes information about the differences between Ecology of Peace and Masonic War is Peace cultures.

The submission documents the total failure and lack of credibility of Masonic War is Peace social contract, as a system of jurisprudence, in terms of its (a) failure to provide legal personhood to nature and animal and plant species; (b) disregard for the objective and scientific truth of the laws of nature/ecology, and (c) disregard for the laws of human nature, when it contradicts the objectives of the holders of subjective AnthroCorpocentric Truth.

---

<sup>33</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/icasa.html>

ICASA refused permission for the Radical Honoursty submission to be submitted into the record. The Family Policy Institute did not object to the reality that the only submissions granted permission to be submitted into the ICASA record were submissions from Masonic War is Peace culture organisations.

On 20 February 2015; the Ecology of Peace culture again contacted the Family Policy Institute with legal correspondence; to again confirm their endorsement of South Africa's courts as Masonic War is Peace courts; for Masonic War is Peace cultures only.

Family Policy Institute were informed to take notice of correspondence to Minister of Justice Mr. Mike Masutha; SA Judicial Service Commission and Constitutional Court Justices; confirming that SA Courts are for 'Masonic War is Peace cultures only': SA Court Rules prohibit [Buck Stops Here Ecology of Peace responsible freedom culture](#)<sup>34</sup> arguments and evidence for submission to [Masonic War is Peace plausible deniable slavery freedumb culture](#)<sup>35</sup> SA courts.

The Ecology of Peace culture confirmed that the Family Policy Institute unequivocally endorsed Masonic War is Peace Christianity and objected to Ecology of Peace cultures being allowed to submit their Ecology of Peace Christianity arguments and evidence to South Africa's Masonic War is Peace courts.

The Ecology of Peace culture confirmed that the Family Policy Institute had no concern or interest in legally defining 'reconciliation'; or sincerely resolving cultural, religious or racial social conflict by amending or nullifying the Masonic War is Peace 'right to breed and consume with total disregard for ecological carrying capacity limits' Mandelaportia social contract constitution.

A transcript of aforementioned correspondence is available in ***Ecology of Peace culture Legal Correspondence copied to Family Policy Institute: Errol Naidoo: in the legal matter: ICASA: Radical Honoursty v Top TV***: Respondents: (i) The Independent Communications Authority of South Africa; (ii) Owners of Top TV: On Digital Media: B Jonker / Peter Meintjies; (iii) Owners of On Digital Media: Kopano Ke Matla Invest. Co. (Pty) Ltd; (iv) Owners of Kopano Ke Matla: COSATU: Congress of SA Trade Unions; (v) Family Policy Institute: Pastor Errol Naidoo; (vi) Doctors for Live International: Dr. Albu van Eeden; (vii) Anti-Porn Activists: Dr. Robert Jensen & Dr Gail Dines; (viii) Commission for Gender Equality: CEO: Ms Keketso Maema [[PDF](#)<sup>36</sup>].

**Conclusion: Are Family Policy Institute and Unashamedly Ethical social and resource conflict problem solving ethics founded upon (i) Ecology of Peace factual reality or (ii) Masonic War is Peace flat earth beliefs?**

Respectfully,

Lara Johnstone, aka Andrea Muhrrteyn [EoP Oath ([PDF](#)<sup>37</sup>)]  
PO Box 5042, George East, 6539, RSA

---

<sup>34</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/ecology-of-peace.html>

<sup>35</sup> <http://tygae.weebly.com/war-is-peace.html>

<sup>36</sup> [http://www.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/15-07-26\\_eop-v-wip\\_fpi-corr.pdf](http://www.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/15-07-26_eop-v-wip_fpi-corr.pdf)

<sup>37</sup> [http://tygae.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/13-06-18\\_milint-earth-day-wyft-support-troops-oath\\_cert.pdf](http://tygae.weebly.com/uploads/1/3/8/7/13878165/13-06-18_milint-earth-day-wyft-support-troops-oath_cert.pdf)

GMC 4643-13 & 2578-14 Pro Se Applicant  
Former MILED Clerk & Acting Clerk

**GMC 4643 Lara Johnstone v Brad Blanton Applicants & Observers:**

*Applicants:* Vice Admiral Nanette Derenzi: US Navy Judge Advocate General co: Knowledge and Information Services JAG Knowledge.Info.Svc; VADM Dennis McGinn via CNA Military Advisory Board Members: US Army: Gen Paul Kern; Army: F.Ch.Staff: Gen Gordon Sullivan; US EU Command: F.Dep.Comm: Gen. Charles Chuck Wald; Amb Patrick Gaspard: US Emb-Pta; Timothy McVeigh; via DOJ-NSD Asst AG-NS: Lisa Monaco: RE: Timothy McVeigh; NSA: Gen Keith Alexander; Erik Prince via GOP: Brown Ellen – Inhofe; Michigan Republicans: Sam Bissell; President Vladimir Putin via Kremlin Press Office; Amb Mikhail Petrakov: RU Emb-Pta; David Petraeus; Stan McChrystal; Ray O’Dierno & John Mulholland co Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff.

*Observers:* Judge Jay Bybee: U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; David Coombs Office; Zbigniew Brzezinski; Major General Dana Pittard; Major General Herbert Raymond McMaster; John W. Whitehead; Donald Rumsfeld; USMC JCS: Dir Joint Force Dev: Lt Gen George Flynn; Supreme Court Justices via DoJ: SupremeCtBriefs; Holy See in London – Nuntius; Grand Lodge of TX: Grand Secretary; Arif Hasan Akhundzada; USMC General Mattis via Dep Comm: Col James Clark: Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base.